



Perchlorate Stakeholders Forum

Sponsored by the Interagency Perchlorate Steering Committee

May 19-21, 1998 ♦ Henderson, NV

May 19 (Day 1) Evaluation

Please take a few minutes to complete this evaluation and return it at the registration table as you leave today. Your comments will help us shape the remaining two days of the forum and improve our interactions with you.

1. How did you learn about the perchlorate stakeholders forum?

☒ Mailing from USEPA Office of Ground Water and Drinking Water

☐ Mailing from USEPA Region 9 office (San Francisco, CA)

☐ Newspaper article - which newspaper? _____

☐ Television story - which station? _____

☐ Other (please specify) _____

2. Do you think the selections of topics for Day 1 of the forum was appropriate?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Your comments and suggestions:

3. Did you find the presentations informative?

(circle one) Excellent Good Fair Poor

What would make them better?

Your comments and suggestions:

(1) FACILITATOR NEEDS TO ASSIST SPEAKERS IN KEEPING TO SCHEDULE.

(2) EXPLORE THE IDEA OF SEPERATE FORUMS FOR RISK MANAGEMENT (E.G., PUBLIC PERCEPTION) & SCIENCE.

(3) TOX. TOPICS OVERLAPPED TOO MUCH (SEEMED REDUNDANT).

4. Did you like the format of Day 1 of the forum (e.g. presentations followed by facilitated discussions)?

☐ Yes ☒ No

Your comments and suggestions:

(1) THIS ENCOURAGED A LENGTHY DISCUSSION ON MATERIAL WHICH WAS NOT RELEVANT TO THE ISSUE AT HAND — HOW TO SOLVE THE CROU ISSUE.

5. Did you like the forum location and facilities?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Your comments and suggestions:

Please use the space below to provide feedback on aspects of Day 1 of the forum that worked well for you (✓ column) and on aspects of the forum which you would change (▲ column).

✓	▲
	FACILITATOR NEEDS TO BE MORE ACTIVE IN KEEPING TO SCHEDULE. SOME PRESENTATIONS SEEMED TO BE ALLOWED TO DRIFT INTO EXTRENEOUS / OLD ARGUMENTS FROM THE IPSC.

Thank you for your comments and suggestions.
We look forward to seeing you on Day 2 of the perchlorate stakeholders forum.

Perchlorate Stakeholders Forum
Sponsored by the Interagency Perchlorate Steering Committee
May 19-21, 1998 ♦ Henderson, NV

May 19 (Day 1) Evaluation

Please take a few minutes to complete this evaluation and return it at the registration table as you leave today. Your comments will help us shape the remaining two days of the forum and improve our interactions with you.

1. How did you learn about the perchlorate stakeholders forum?

- ☒ Mailing from USEPA Office of Ground Water and Drinking Water *E-mail*
☐ Mailing from USEPA Region 9 office (San Francisco, CA)
☐ Newspaper article - which newspaper? _____
☐ Television story - which station? _____
☐ Other (please specify) _____

2. Do you think the selections of topics for Day 1 of the forum was appropriate?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Your comments and suggestions:

Copies of handouts.

3. Did you find the presentations informative?

(circle one) Excellent Good Fair Poor

What would make them better?

Your comments and suggestions:

Very -

due to depth of discussion - copies to spark thought at a future date.

4. Did you like the format of Day 1 of the forum (e.g. presentations followed by facilitated discussions)?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Your comments and suggestions:

Keep the time list of speakers short and discussions often - short breaks.

5. Did you like the forum location and facilities?

☒ Yes

☐ No

Your comments and suggestions:

Please use the space below to provide feedback on aspects of Day 1 of the forum that worked well for you (✓ column) and on aspects of the forum which you would change (▲ column).

<p style="text-align: center;">✓</p> <p>Lunch propagation. Very handy</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">▲</p> <p>Complicated formula/data should not be right after lunch -</p>
---	--

Thank you for your comments and suggestions.

We look forward to seeing you on Day 2 of the perchlorate stakeholders forum.

Perchlorate Stakeholders Forum
Sponsored by the Interagency Perchlorate Steering Committee
May 19-21, 1998 ♦ Henderson, NV

May 19 (Day 1) Evaluation

Please take a few minutes to complete this evaluation and return it at the registration table as you leave today. Your comments will help us shape the remaining two days of the forum and improve our interactions with you.

1. How did you learn about the perchlorate stakeholders forum?

- ☐ Mailing from USEPA Office of Ground Water and Drinking Water
☐ Mailing from USEPA Region 9 office (San Francisco, CA)
☐ Newspaper article - which newspaper? _____
☐ Television story - which station? _____
☒ Other (please specify) Participation in Interagency Steering Committee

2. Do you think the selections of topics for Day 1 of the forum was appropriate?

✓ Yes _____ No Your comments and suggestions:

3. Did you find the presentations informative?

(circle one) Excellent Good Fair Poor

What would make them better? Your comments and suggestions:

4. Did you like the format of Day 1 of the forum (e.g. presentations followed by facilitated discussions)?

✓ Yes _____ No Your comments and suggestions:

5. Did you like the forum location and facilities?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Your comments and suggestions:

Please use the space below to provide feedback on aspects of Day 1 of the forum that worked well for you (✓ column) and on aspects of the forum which you would change (▲ column).

✓	▲
	<p>Toxicology presentations did not need to be as technical for the type of audience</p>

Thank you for your comments and suggestions.
We look forward to seeing you on Day 2 of the perchlorate stakeholders forum.

Perchlorate Stakeholders Forum
Sponsored by the Interagency Perchlorate Steering Committee
May 19-21, 1998 ♦ Henderson, NV

May 19 (Day 1) Evaluation

Please take a few minutes to complete this evaluation and return it at the registration table as you leave today. Your comments will help us shape the remaining two days of the forum and improve our interactions with you.

1. How did you learn about the perchlorate stakeholders forum?

- ☐ Mailing from USEPA Office of Ground Water and Drinking Water
☐ Mailing from USEPA Region 9 office (San Francisco, CA)
☐ Newspaper article - which newspaper? _____
☐ Television story - which station? _____
☒ Other (please specify) talk to Carmen White.

2. Do you think the selections of topics for Day 1 of the forum was appropriate?

✓ Yes _____ No Your comments and suggestions:

3. Did you find the presentations informative?

(circle one) Excellent Good Fair Poor

Mostly a review for me.

What would make them better? Your comments and suggestions:

Native American presentation hobbled by the need for multiple speakers, but the inefficiency was a reminder of the human element of the problem.

4. Did you like the format of Day 1 of the forum (e.g. presentations followed by facilitated discussions)?

✓ Yes _____ No Your comments and suggestions:

5. Did you like the forum location and facilities?

✓ Yes No

Your comments and suggestions:

Please use the space below to provide feedback on aspects of Day 1 of the forum that worked well for you (✓ column) and on aspects of the forum which you would change (▲ column).

✓	▲
TOXICOLOGY (Jarobell radiates competence)	
Native American Leads	Too much time allotted
(Presented the challenge of communicating the uncertainties to the public)	
Occurrence	

Thank you for your comments and suggestions.

We look forward to seeing you on Day 2 of the perchlorate stakeholders forum.

Perchlorate Stakeholders Forum

Sponsored by the Interagency Perchlorate Steering Committee

May 19-21, 1998 ♦ Henderson, NV

May 19 (Day 1) Evaluation

Please take a few minutes to complete this evaluation and return it at the registration table as you leave today. Your comments will help us shape the remaining two days of the forum and improve our interactions with you.

1. How did you learn about the perchlorate stakeholders forum?

☐ Mailing from USEPA Office of Ground Water and Drinking Water

☐ Mailing from USEPA Region 9 office (San Francisco, CA)

☐ Newspaper article - which newspaper? _____

☐ Television story - which station? _____

☒ Other (please specify) Sent to me by Lake Mead
Water Quality Forum

2. Do you think the selections of topics for Day 1 of the forum was appropriate?

☒ Yes ☒ No

Your comments and suggestions:

Partly yes, partly No.

Non-Technical
fellks
Left
early last
year
for them.

3. Did you find the presentations informative?

(circle one) Excellent Good (Fair) Poor

What would make them better?

Your comments and suggestions:

more plain language substance, fewer
Acronyms and fewer long lists
Scheduling (versus issues)

4. Did you like the format of Day 1 of the forum (e.g. presentations followed by facilitated discussions)?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Your comments and suggestions:

There is more to QA/QC than
talking about schedules and saying
things will be sent for peer review.

over 13

5. Did you like the forum location and facilities?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Your comments and suggestions:

Please use the space below to provide feedback on aspects of Day 1 of the forum that worked well for you (✓ column) and on aspects of the forum which you would change (▲ column).

✓	▲
Written Summaries Provided,	Overlong Study — Mettler
precautions of thyroid toxicity	Protocols / QA/QC
Update Drunken water	Jarabek - too many acronyms and technical medical terms.

Thank you for your comments and suggestions.

We look forward to seeing you on Day 2 of the perchlorate stakeholders forum.

Perchlorate Stakeholders Forum
Sponsored by the Interagency Perchlorate Steering Committee
May 19-21, 1998 ♦ Henderson, NV

May 19 (Day 1) Evaluation

Please take a few minutes to complete this evaluation and return it at the registration table as you leave today. Your comments will help us shape the remaining two days of the forum and improve our interactions with you.

1. How did you learn about the perchlorate stakeholders forum?

☐ Mailing from USEPA Office of Ground Water and Drinking Water

☐ Mailing from USEPA Region 9 office (San Francisco, CA)

☐ Newspaper article - which newspaper? _____

☐ Television story - which station? _____

☒ Other (please specify) PSG _____

2. Do you think the selections of topics for Day 1 of the forum was appropriate?

✓ Yes _____ No

Your comments and suggestions:

why no epidemiology?

3. Did you find the presentations informative?

(circle one) Excellent Good Fair Poor

What would make them better?

Your comments and suggestions:

Better english (laymans terms) discussion of toxicology - less jargon - I understood most of it but don't think some others did based on comments

4. Did you like the format of Day 1 of the forum (e.g. presentations followed by facilitated discussions)?

✓ Yes _____ No

Your comments and suggestions:

5. Did you like the forum location and facilities?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Your comments and suggestions:

Please use the space below to provide feedback on aspects of Day 1 of the forum that worked well for you (✓ column) and on aspects of the forum which you would change (▲ column).

✓	▲
	<p>Many workers exposed over long terms at a variety of facilities - Is EPA aware of any symptoms / conditions/ or documented effects from perchlorate</p>

Thank you for your comments and suggestions.

We look forward to seeing you on Day 2 of the perchlorate stakeholders forum.

Perchlorate Stakeholders Forum
Sponsored by the Interagency Perchlorate Steering Committee
May 19-21, 1998 ♦ Henderson, NV

May 19 (Day 1) Evaluation

Please take a few minutes to complete this evaluation and return it at the registration table as you leave today. Your comments will help us shape the remaining two days of the forum and improve our interactions with you.

1. How did you learn about the perchlorate stakeholders forum?

- ☐ Mailing from USEPA Office of Ground Water and Drinking Water
☐ Mailing from USEPA Region 9 office (San Francisco, CA)
☐ Newspaper article - which newspaper? _____
☐ Television story - which station? _____
☐ Other (please specify) PSG

2. Do you think the selections of topics for Day 1 of the forum was appropriate?

☒ Yes ☐ No Your comments and suggestions:

3. Did you find the presentations informative?

(circle one) Excellent Good Fair Poor

What would make them better? Your comments and suggestions:

TOO MUCH INFO IN
ONE DAY.

4. Did you like the format of Day 1 of the forum (e.g. presentations followed by facilitated discussions)?

☒ Yes ☐ No Your comments and suggestions:

5. Did you like the forum location and facilities?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Your comments and suggestions:

Please use the space below to provide feedback on aspects of Day 1 of the forum that worked well for you (✓ column) and on aspects of the forum which you would change (▲ column).

✓	▲
	COPIES OF THE PRESENTATIONS TO REVIEW.

Thank you for your comments and suggestions.
We look forward to seeing you on Day 2 of the perchlorate stakeholders forum.

Perchlorate Stakeholders Forum

Sponsored by the Interagency Perchlorate Steering Committee

May 19-21, 1998 ♦ Henderson, NV

May 19 (Day 1) Evaluation

Please take a few minutes to complete this evaluation and return it at the registration table as you leave today. Your comments will help us shape the remaining two days of the forum and improve our interactions with you.

1. How did you learn about the perchlorate stakeholders forum?

☐ Mailing from USEPA Office of Ground Water and Drinking Water

☐ Mailing from USEPA Region 9 office (San Francisco, CA)

☐ Newspaper article - which newspaper? _____

☐ Television story - which station? _____

☒ Other (please specify) E-mail The Paradise Envois Safe letter

2. Do you think the selections of topics for Day 1 of the forum was appropriate?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Your comments and suggestions:

MAY HAVE ONE OR TWO TOO MANY
DUE TO THE TIME CRUNCH!

3. Did you find the presentations informative?

(circle one) Excellent Good Fair Poor

What would make them better?

Your comments and suggestions:

Handouts of technical
presentations would be
very helpful

4. Did you like the format of Day 1 of the forum (e.g. presentations followed by facilitated discussions)?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Your comments and suggestions:

5. Did you like the forum location and facilities?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Your comments and suggestions:

Hold the next one in Redlands or
Paucho Cordova - Give groundwater
contaminated with perchlorate
equal time.

Please use the space below to provide feedback on aspects of Day 1 of the forum that worked well for you (✓ column) and on aspects of the forum which you would change (▲ column).

✓	▲
	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Decrease the Amount of info/Background on speaker introductions.<ul style="list-style-type: none">- give us a printed biography!• Put other water suppliers on Forum.

Thank you for your comments and suggestions.

We look forward to seeing you on Day 2 of the perchlorate stakeholders forum.

Perchlorate Stakeholders Forum
Sponsored by the Interagency Perchlorate Steering Committee
May 19-21, 1998 ♦ Henderson, NV

May 19 (Day 1) Evaluation

Please take a few minutes to complete this evaluation and return it at the registration table as you leave today. Your comments will help us shape the remaining two days of the forum and improve our interactions with you.

1. How did you learn about the perchlorate stakeholders forum?

- ☐ Mailing from USEPA Office of Ground Water and Drinking Water
☒ Mailing from USEPA Region 9 office (San Francisco, CA)
☒ Newspaper article - which newspaper? Las Vegas RJ
☐ Television story - which station? _____
☐ Other (please specify) _____

2. Do you think the selections of topics for Day 1 of the forum was appropriate?

X Yes _____ No Your comments and suggestions:

3. Did you find the presentations informative?

(circle one) Excellent Good Fair Poor

What would make them better? Your comments and suggestions:

4. Did you like the format of Day 1 of the forum (e.g. presentations followed by facilitated discussions)?

X Yes _____ No Your comments and suggestions:

5. Did you like the forum location and facilities?

X Yes No

Your comments and suggestions:

However more telephones would be nice.

Please use the space below to provide feedback on aspects of Day 1 of the forum that worked well for you (✓ column) and on aspects of the forum which you would change (▲ column).

✓	▲
<p>I enjoyed the keynote Presentations. Thomas W. L. McCall Allen Braggi</p> <hr/>	<p>Handouts of the viewgraphs would have been nice! I had trouble copying all the info before the viewgraph was removed.</p>
<p>It is nice to see how many people are interested in the perchlorate problem</p> <p>The Tribal concerns regarding Perchlorate seemed to be an opportunity for EPA to increase stakeholder involvement</p> <hr/>	

Thank you for your comments and suggestions.

We look forward to seeing you on Day 2 of the perchlorate stakeholders forum.

Perchlorate Stakeholders Forum
Sponsored by the Interagency Perchlorate Steering Committee
May 19-21, 1998 ♦ Henderson, NV

May 19 (Day 1) Evaluation

Please take a few minutes to complete this evaluation and return it at the registration table as you leave today. Your comments will help us shape the remaining two days of the forum and improve our interactions with you.

1. How did you learn about the perchlorate stakeholders forum?

☐ Mailing from USEPA Office of Ground Water and Drinking Water

☐ Mailing from USEPA Region 9 office (San Francisco, CA)

☐ Newspaper article - which newspaper? _____

☐ Television story - which station? _____

☒ Other (please specify) COLLEAGUE

2. ☒ Do you think the selections of topics for Day 1 of the forum was appropriate?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Your comments and suggestions:

3. Did you find the presentations informative?

(circle one) Excellent Good Fair Poor

What would make them better?

Your comments and suggestions:

BETTER
P.A. SYSTEM

4. Did you like the format of Day 1 of the forum (e.g. presentations followed by facilitated discussions)?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Your comments and suggestions:

FEATURE SHORTER BREAKS OR
MORE BREAKS; IT WAS TOO
MUCH AND Q+A TENDED TO BE
CUT OFF

over 13

5. Did you like the forum location and facilities?
☒ Yes ☐ No Your comments and suggestions:

GOOD TO KEEP MEETINGS
AWAY FROM THE STRIP.

Please use the space below to provide feedback on aspects of Day 1 of the forum that worked well for you (✓ column) and on aspects of the forum which you would change (▲ column).

✓	▲
	EITHER HAVE MICROPHONES FOR SPEAKERS, OR REPEAT QUESTIONS FOR ALL TO HEAR

Thank you for your comments and suggestions.
We look forward to seeing you on Day 2 of the perchlorate stakeholders forum.

Perchlorate Stakeholders Forum

Sponsored by the Interagency Perchlorate Steering Committee

May 19-21, 1998 ♦ Henderson, NV

May 19 (Day 1) Evaluation

Please take a few minutes to complete this evaluation and return it at the registration table as you leave today. Your comments will help us shape the remaining two days of the forum and improve our interactions with you.

1. How did you learn about the perchlorate stakeholders forum?

☐ Mailing from USEPA Office of Ground Water and Drinking Water

☒ Mailing from USEPA Region 9 office (San Francisco, CA)

☐ Newspaper article - which newspaper? _____

☐ Television story - which station? _____

☐ Other (please specify) _____

2. Do you think the selections of topics for Day 1 of the forum was appropriate?

☒ Yes ☐ No Your comments and suggestions:

~~Session after lunch break was~~

3. Did you find the presentations informative?

(circle one) Excellent Good Fair Poor

What would make them better?

Your comments and suggestions:

Toxicity session quite technical. Would have been more useful and informative presented in a less technical way.

4. Did you like the format of Day 1 of the forum (e.g. presentations followed by facilitated discussions)?

☐ Yes ☐ No Your comments and suggestions:

Session after lunch was quite dry and would be better slotted possibly in a morning session rather than right after lunch. It was hard to stay alert during the ^{beginning of} ~~whole~~ afternoon session. The latter part of the afternoon session was fine.

over 13

5. Did you like the forum location and facilities?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Your comments and suggestions:

Except room was a little too cold.
No vegetarian lunch items on menu offered.

Please use the space below to provide feedback on aspects of Day 1 of the forum that worked well for you (✓ column) and on aspects of the forum which you would change (▲ column).

✓	▲ Too much toxicology
---	--------------------------

Thank you for your comments and suggestions.
We look forward to seeing you on Day 2 of the perchlorate stakeholders forum.

Perchlorate Stakeholders Forum
Sponsored by the Interagency Perchlorate Steering Committee
May 19-21, 1998 ♦ Henderson, NV

May 19 (Day 1) Evaluation

Please take a few minutes to complete this evaluation and return it at the registration table as you leave today. Your comments will help us shape the remaining two days of the forum and improve our interactions with you.

1. How did you learn about the perchlorate stakeholders forum?

- ☐ Mailing from USEPA Office of Ground Water and Drinking Water
☐ Mailing from USEPA Region 9 office (San Francisco, CA)
☐ Newspaper article - which newspaper? _____
☐ Television story - which station? _____
☒ Other (please specify) member of IPSC

2. Do you think the selections of topics for Day 1 of the forum was appropriate?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Your comments and suggestions:

Less time arguing about who is in the loop and when - this issue is so new it doesn't make much difference if one agency found out one month sooner than another.

3. Did you find the presentations informative?

(circle one) Excellent Good Fair Poor

What would make them better?

Your comments and suggestions:

Make sure the speakers are speaking into the microphone!

4. Did you like the format of Day 1 of the forum (e.g. presentations followed by facilitated discussions)?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Your comments and suggestions:

Keep on the time schedule

5. Did you like the forum location and facilities?

____ Yes ____ No

Your comments and suggestions:

It would be helpful to include all affected areas of the country instead of focusing on CA & the Colorado River System.

Please use the space below to provide feedback on aspects of Day 1 of the forum that worked well for you (✓ column) and on aspects of the forum which you would change (▲ column).

✓	▲
<i>The facilitated discussions are helpful as long as the time frames are strictly adhered to.</i>	<i>Maybe offer presentations at different levels of expertise at the same time, so we all receive the information at our respective levels of understanding.</i>

Thank you for your comments and suggestions.
We look forward to seeing you on Day 2 of the perchlorate stakeholders forum.

Perchlorate Stakeholders Forum
Sponsored by the Interagency Perchlorate Steering Committee
May 19-21, 1998 ♦ Henderson, NV

May 19 (Day 1) Evaluation

Please take a few minutes to complete this evaluation and return it at the registration table as you leave today. Your comments will help us shape the remaining two days of the forum and improve our interactions with you.

1. How did you learn about the perchlorate stakeholders forum?

- ☐ Mailing from USEPA Office of Ground Water and Drinking Water
☐ Mailing from USEPA Region 9 office (San Francisco, CA)
☐ Newspaper article - which newspaper? _____
☐ Television story - which station? _____
☒ Other (please specify) DAVID TSUI - email

2. Do you think the selections of topics for Day 1 of the forum was appropriate?

X Yes _____ No

Your comments and suggestions:

PERCHLORATE ANALYTICAL METHODS SHOULD BE DAY ONE.
JUDGING THE OTHER DATA PRESENTED HINGES ON THE
CREDIBILITY OF THE FUNDAMENTAL DATA.

3. Did you find the presentations informative?

(circle one) Excellent Good Fair Poor

What would make them better?

Your comments and suggestions:

WRITTEN QUESTIONS ONLY !!!

SOME PARTICIPANTS ARE ABUSING THE FORUM AND
SPEAKERS FOR THEIR OWN AGENDAS.

4. Did you like the format of Day 1 of the forum (e.g. presentations followed by facilitated discussions)?

_____ Yes X No

Your comments and suggestions:

SEE #3

5. Did you like the forum location and facilities?

X Yes _____ No

Your comments and suggestions:

Please use the space below to provide feedback on aspects of Day 1 of the forum that worked well for you (✓ column) and on aspects of the forum which you would change (▲ column).

✓	▲

Thank you for your comments and suggestions.
We look forward to seeing you on Day 2 of the perchlorate stakeholders forum.

Perchlorate Stakeholders Forum
Sponsored by the Interagency Perchlorate Steering Committee
May 19-21, 1998 ♦ Henderson, NV

May 19 (Day 1) Evaluation

Please take a few minutes to complete this evaluation and return it at the registration table as you leave today. Your comments will help us shape the remaining two days of the forum and improve our interactions with you.

1. How did you learn about the perchlorate stakeholders forum?

- ☐ Mailing from USEPA Office of Ground Water and Drinking Water
☒ Mailing from USEPA Region 9 office (San Francisco, CA)
☐ Newspaper article - which newspaper? _____
☐ Television story - which station? _____
☐ Other (please specify) _____

2. Do you think the selections of topics for Day 1 of the forum was appropriate?

☒ Yes ☐ No Your comments and suggestions:

Less Risk Assessment

3. Did you find the presentations informative?

(circle one) Excellent Good Fair Poor

What would make them better? Your comments and suggestions:

4. Did you like the format of Day 1 of the forum (e.g. presentations followed by facilitated discussions)?

☒ Yes ☐ No Your comments and suggestions:

Too much redundancy

5. Did you like the forum location and facilities?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Your comments and suggestions:

Please use the space below to provide feedback on aspects of Day 1 of the forum that worked well for you (✓ column) and on aspects of the forum which you would change (▲ column).

✓	▲

Thank you for your comments and suggestions.
We look forward to seeing you on Day 2 of the perchlorate stakeholders forum.

Perchlorate Stakeholders Forum
Sponsored by the Interagency Perchlorate Steering Committee
May 19-21, 1998 ♦ Henderson, NV

May 19 (Day 1) Evaluation

Please take a few minutes to complete this evaluation and return it at the registration table as you leave today. Your comments will help us shape the remaining two days of the forum and improve our interactions with you.

1. How did you learn about the perchlorate stakeholders forum?

- ☐ Mailing from USEPA Office of Ground Water and Drinking Water
☐ Mailing from USEPA Region 9 office (San Francisco, CA)
☐ Newspaper article - which newspaper? _____
☐ Television story - which station? _____
☐ Other (please specify) Dan Rogers

2. Do you think the selections of topics for Day 1 of the forum was appropriate?

No Yes ☒ No

Your comments and suggestions:

Less time for Tribe comments/concerns. Should have opportunity to express concern, but not so much time. Also should be better prepared.

3. Did you find the presentations informative?

(circle one) Excellent Good Fair Poor

What would make them better?

Your comments and suggestions:

4. Did you like the format of Day 1 of the forum (e.g. presentations followed by facilitated discussions)?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Your comments and suggestions:

5. Did you like the forum location and facilities?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Your comments and suggestions:

Please use the space below to provide feedback on aspects of Day 1 of the forum that worked well for you (✓ column) and on aspects of the forum which you would change (▲ column).

✓	▲

Thank you for your comments and suggestions.
We look forward to seeing you on Day 2 of the perchlorate stakeholders forum.

Perchlorate Stakeholders Forum
Sponsored by the Interagency Perchlorate Steering Committee
May 19-21, 1998 ♦ Henderson, NV

May 19 (Day 1) Evaluation

Please take a few minutes to complete this evaluation and return it at the registration table as you leave today. Your comments will help us shape the remaining two days of the forum and improve our interactions with you.

1. How did you learn about the perchlorate stakeholders forum?

- ☐ Mailing from USEPA Office of Ground Water and Drinking Water
☐ Mailing from USEPA Region 9 office (San Francisco, CA)
☐ Newspaper article - which newspaper? _____
☐ Television story - which station? _____
☐ Other (please specify) participation in IFSC

2. Do you think the selections of topics for Day 1 of the forum was appropriate?

✓ Yes _____ No Your comments and suggestions:

3. Did you find the presentations informative?

(circle one) Excellent Good Fair Poor

What would make them better? Your comments and suggestions:

Too technical & not general enough for the public

4. Did you like the format of Day 1 of the forum (e.g. presentations followed by facilitated discussions)?

✓ Yes _____ No Your comments and suggestions:

5. Did you like the forum location and facilities?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Your comments and suggestions:

Please use the space below to provide feedback on aspects of Day 1 of the forum that worked well for you (✓ column) and on aspects of the forum which you would change (▲ column).

✓	▲

Thank you for your comments and suggestions.
We look forward to seeing you on Day 2 of the perchlorate stakeholders forum.

Perchlorate Stakeholders Forum
Sponsored by the Interagency Perchlorate Steering Committee
May 19-21, 1998 ♦ Henderson, NV

May 19 (Day 1) Evaluation

Please take a few minutes to complete this evaluation and return it at the registration table as you leave today. Your comments will help us shape the remaining two days of the forum and improve our interactions with you.

1. How did you learn about the perchlorate stakeholders forum?

- ☒ Mailing from USEPA Office of Ground Water and Drinking Water
☐ Mailing from USEPA Region 9 office (San Francisco, CA)
☐ Newspaper article - which newspaper? _____
☐ Television story - which station? _____
☐ Other (please specify) _____

2. Do you think the selections of topics for Day 1 of the forum was appropriate?

X Yes _____ No Your comments and suggestions:

3. Did you find the presentations informative?

(circle one) Excellent Good Fair Poor

What would make them better? Your comments and suggestions:

Should be more specific.

4. Did you like the format of Day 1 of the forum (e.g. presentations followed by facilitated discussions)?

X Yes _____ No Your comments and suggestions:

5. Did you like the forum location and facilities?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Your comments and suggestions:

Please use the space below to provide feedback on aspects of Day 1 of the forum that worked well for you (✓ column) and on aspects of the forum which you would change (▲ column).

✓	▲

Thank you for your comments and suggestions.
We look forward to seeing you on Day 2 of the perchlorate stakeholders forum.

Perchlorate Stakeholders Forum
Sponsored by the Interagency Perchlorate Steering Committee
May 19-21, 1998 ♦ Henderson, NV

May 19 (Day 1) Evaluation

Please take a few minutes to complete this evaluation and return it at the registration table as you leave today. Your comments will help us shape the remaining two days of the forum and improve our interactions with you.

1. How did you learn about the perchlorate stakeholders forum?

☐ Mailing from USEPA Office of Ground Water and Drinking Water

☐ Mailing from USEPA Region 9 office (San Francisco, CA)

☐ Newspaper article - which newspaper? _____

☐ Television story - which station? _____

☒ Other (please specify) Nevada Contacts

2. Do you think the selections of topics for Day 1 of the forum was appropriate?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Your comments and suggestions:

—

3. Did you find the presentations informative?

(circle one) Excellent Good Fair Poor

What would make them better?

Your comments and suggestions:

4. Did you like the format of Day 1 of the forum (e.g. presentations followed by facilitated discussions)?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Your comments and suggestions:

5. Did you like the forum location and facilities?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Your comments and suggestions:

Please use the space below to provide feedback on aspects of Day 1 of the forum that worked well for you (✓ column) and on aspects of the forum which you would change (▲ column).

✓	▲

Thank you for your comments and suggestions.
We look forward to seeing you on Day 2 of the perchlorate stakeholders forum.

Perchlorate Stakeholders Forum
Sponsored by the Interagency Perchlorate Steering Committee
May 19-21, 1998 ♦ Henderson, NV

May 19 (Day 1) Evaluation

Please take a few minutes to complete this evaluation and return it at the registration table as you leave today. Your comments will help us shape the remaining two days of the forum and improve our interactions with you.

1. How did you learn about the perchlorate stakeholders forum?

- ☐ Mailing from USEPA Office of Ground Water and Drinking Water
☐ Mailing from USEPA Region 9 office (San Francisco, CA)
☐ Newspaper article - which newspaper? _____
☐ Television story - which station? _____
☒ Other (please specify) Client

2. Do you think the selections of topics for Day 1 of the forum was appropriate?

☒ Yes ☐ No Your comments and suggestions:

3. Did you find the presentations informative?

(circle one) Excellent Good Fair Poor

What would make them better? Your comments and suggestions:

4. Did you like the format of Day 1 of the forum (e.g. presentations followed by facilitated discussions)?

☒ Yes ☐ No Your comments and suggestions:

5. Did you like the forum location and facilities?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Your comments and suggestions:

Please use the space below to provide feedback on aspects of Day 1 of the forum that worked well for you (✓ column) and on aspects of the forum which you would change (▲ column).

✓	▲

Thank you for your comments and suggestions.
We look forward to seeing you on Day 2 of the perchlorate stakeholders forum.

Perchlorate Stakeholders Forum

Sponsored by the Interagency Perchlorate Steering Committee

May 19-21, 1998 ♦ Henderson, NV

May 19 (Day 1) Evaluation

Please take a few minutes to complete this evaluation and return it at the registration table as you leave today. Your comments will help us shape the remaining two days of the forum and improve our interactions with you.

1. How did you learn about the perchlorate stakeholders forum?

☐ Mailing from USEPA Office of Ground Water and Drinking Water

☐ Mailing from USEPA Region 9 office (San Francisco, CA)

☐ Newspaper article - which newspaper? _____

☐ Television story - which station? _____

☒ Other (please specify) Lake Mead Water Quality Forum

2. Do you think the selections of topics for Day 1 of the forum was appropriate?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Your comments and suggestions:

But why wasn't a member of the public (other than tribes) allowed to make a presentation in any of the sessions?

3. Did you find the presentations informative?

(circle one) Excellent Good Fair Poor

What would make them better?

Your comments and suggestions:

Direct input from a member of the public in the keynote session, or other opening day sessions.

4. Did you like the format of Day 1 of the forum (e.g. presentations followed by facilitated discussions)?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Your comments and suggestions:

5. Did you like the forum location and facilities?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Your comments and suggestions:

Please use the space below to provide feedback on aspects of Day 1 of the forum that worked well for you (✓ column) and on aspects of the forum which you would change (▲ column).

✓	▲

Thank you for your comments and suggestions.
We look forward to seeing you on Day 2 of the perchlorate stakeholders forum.

Perchlorate Stakeholders Forum

Sponsored by the Interagency Perchlorate Steering Committee

May 19-21, 1998 ♦ Henderson, NV

May 19 (Day 1) Evaluation

Please take a few minutes to complete this evaluation and return it at the registration table as you leave today. Your comments will help us shape the remaining two days of the forum and improve our interactions with you.

1. How did you learn about the perchlorate stakeholders forum?

☐ Mailing from USEPA Office of Ground Water and Drinking Water

☐ Mailing from USEPA Region 9 office (San Francisco, CA)

☐ Newspaper article - which newspaper? _____

☐ Television story - which station? _____

☐ Other (please specify) email posting

2. Do you think the selections of topics for Day 1 of the forum was appropriate?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Your comments and suggestions:

It was very thorough in the presentation of how the RFC will be developed, as well as history of issues. supporting this forum.

3. Did you find the presentations informative?

(circle one) Excellent Good Fair Poor

What would make them better?

Your comments and suggestions:

Most of my questions I had at the beginning of the forum were answered.

4. Did you like the format of Day 1 of the forum (e.g. presentations followed by facilitated discussions)?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Your comments and suggestions:

5. Did you like the forum location and facilities?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Your comments and suggestions:

Please use the space below to provide feedback on aspects of Day 1 of the forum that worked well for you (✓ column) and on aspects of the forum which you would change (▲ column).

✓	▲

Thank you for your comments and suggestions.
We look forward to seeing you on Day 2 of the perchlorate stakeholders forum.

Perchlorate Stakeholders Forum

Sponsored by the Interagency Perchlorate Steering Committee

May 19-21, 1998 ♦ Henderson, NV

May 19 (Day 1) Evaluation

Please take a few minutes to complete this evaluation and return it at the registration table as you leave today. Your comments will help us shape the remaining two days of the forum and improve our interactions with you.

1. How did you learn about the perchlorate stakeholders forum?

- ☐ Mailing from USEPA Office of Ground Water and Drinking Water
- ☐ Mailing from USEPA Region 9 office (San Francisco, CA)
- ☐ Newspaper article - which newspaper? _____
- ☐ Television story - which station? _____
- ☐ Other (please specify) ORIGINALLY FROM AN ENVIRONMENTAL
WACO, THEN KEVIN MAYER

2. Do you think the selections of topics for Day 1 of the forum was appropriate?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Your comments and suggestions:

3. Did you find the presentations informative?

(circle one) Excellent Good Fair Poor

What would make them better?

Your comments and suggestions:

BETTER AV EQUIPMENT AVAILABILITY

4. Did you like the format of Day 1 of the forum (e.g. presentations followed by facilitated discussions)?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Your comments and suggestions:

5. Did you like the forum location and facilities?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Your comments and suggestions:

Good choice

Please use the space below to provide feedback on aspects of Day 1 of the forum that worked well for you (✓ column) and on aspects of the forum which you would change (▲ column).

✓	▲

Thank you for your comments and suggestions.
We look forward to seeing you on Day 2 of the perchlorate stakeholders forum.

Perchlorate Stakeholders Forum

Sponsored by the Interagency Perchlorate Steering Committee

May 19-21, 1998 ♦ Henderson, NV

May 19 (Day 1) Evaluation

Please take a few minutes to complete this evaluation and return it at the registration table as you leave today. Your comments will help us shape the remaining two days of the forum and improve our interactions with you.

1. How did you learn about the perchlorate stakeholders forum?

☐ Mailing from USEPA Office of Ground Water and Drinking Water

☐ Mailing from USEPA Region 9 office (San Francisco, CA)

☐ Newspaper article - which newspaper? _____

☐ Television story - which station? _____

☒ Other (please specify) _____

"Daily Regulatory Reporter"

2. ~~Do~~ you think the selections of topics for Day 1 of the forum was appropriate?

____ Yes _____ No

Your comments and suggestions:

Very wide-ranging, but it is wide ranging issue.

3. Did you find the presentations informative?

(circle one) Excellent Good Fair Poor

What would make them better?

Your comments and suggestions:

*Shorter - more breaks
(I have short attention span, much
of toxicology is a stretch
for me)*

4. Did you like the format of Day 1 of the forum (e.g. presentations followed by facilitated discussions)?

☒ Yes _____ No

Your comments and suggestions:

5. Did you like the forum location and facilities?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Your comments and suggestions:

[Yes, I'm one who prefers
Henderson over Las Vegas! Las
Vegas is very close, however.]

Please use the space below to provide feedback on aspects of Day 1 of the forum that worked well for you (✓ column) and on aspects of the forum which you would change (▲ column).

✓	▲

Thank you for your comments and suggestions.
We look forward to seeing you on Day 2 of the perchlorate stakeholders forum.

Perchlorate Stakeholders Forum

Sponsored by the Interagency Perchlorate Steering Committee

May 19-21, 1998 ♦ Henderson, NV

May 19 (Day 1) Evaluation

Please take a few minutes to complete this evaluation and return it at the registration table as you leave today. Your comments will help us shape the remaining two days of the forum and improve our interactions with you.

1. How did you learn about the perchlorate stakeholders forum?

☐ Mailing from USEPA Office of Ground Water and Drinking Water

☐ Mailing from USEPA Region 9 office (San Francisco, CA)

☐ Newspaper article - which newspaper? _____

☐ Television story - which station? _____

☐ Other (please specify) DPSC

2. Do you think the selections of topics for Day 1 of the forum was appropriate?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Your comments and suggestions:

*What about a medical doctor. To tell us
about Human Health Effects of Perchlorate*

3. Did you find the presentations informative?

(circle one) Excellent Good Fair Poor

What would make them better?

Your comments and suggestions:

time

4. Did you like the format of Day 1 of the forum (e.g. presentations followed by facilitated discussions)?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Your comments and suggestions:

5. Did you like the forum location and facilities?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Your comments and suggestions:

Excellent

Please use the space below to provide feedback on aspects of Day 1 of the forum that worked well for you (✓ column) and on aspects of the forum which you would change (▲ column).

✓	▲

Thank you for your comments and suggestions.
We look forward to seeing you on Day 2 of the perchlorate stakeholders forum.

Perchlorate Stakeholders Forum

Sponsored by the Interagency Perchlorate Steering Committee

May 19-21, 1998 ♦ Henderson, NV

May 19 (Day 1) Evaluation

Please take a few minutes to complete this evaluation and return it at the registration table as you leave today. Your comments will help us shape the remaining two days of the forum and improve our interactions with you.

1. How did you learn about the perchlorate stakeholders forum?

- ☒ Mailing from USEPA Office of Ground Water and Drinking Water
☐ Mailing from USEPA Region 9 office (San Francisco, CA)
☐ Newspaper article - which newspaper? _____
☐ Television story - which station? _____
☒ Other (please specify) email from the steering committee

2. Do you think the selections of topics for Day 1 of the forum was appropriate?

- ☒ Yes ☐ No Your comments and suggestions:
But I think the tribal concerns were overdone. While valid it would have been expeditious if the concerns were consolidated and not delivered w/ angry.

3. Did you find the presentations informative?

(circle one) Excellent Good Fair Poor

What would make them better? Your comments and suggestions:

Because of the large amount of material that needed to be presented, it would have been helpful to limit statement, lectures, long comments to brief statements

4. Did you like the format of Day 1 of the forum (e.g. presentations followed by facilitated discussions)?

☒ Yes ☐ No Your comments and suggestions:

except see comment # 2

5. Did you like the forum location and facilities?

_____ Yes _____ No

Your comments and suggestions:

it worked fine

Please use the space below to provide feedback on aspects of Day 1 of the forum that worked well for you (✓ column) and on aspects of the forum which you would change (▲ column).

✓	▲

Thank you for your comments and suggestions.
We look forward to seeing you on Day 2 of the perchlorate stakeholders forum.

Perchlorate Stakeholders Forum
Sponsored by the Interagency Perchlorate Steering Committee
May 19-21, 1998 ♦ Henderson, NV

May 19 (Day 1) Evaluation

Please take a few minutes to complete this evaluation and return it at the registration table as you leave today. Your comments will help us shape the remaining two days of the forum and improve our interactions with you.

1. How did you learn about the perchlorate stakeholders forum?

- ☐ Mailing from USEPA Office of Ground Water and Drinking Water } Got No
☐ Mailing from USEPA Region 9 office (San Francisco, CA) } Mailings -
☐ Newspaper article - which newspaper? NA } was
☐ Television story - which station? NA } Registered.
☒ Other (please specify) IPSC

2. Do you think the selections of topics for Day 1 of the forum was appropriate?

☒ Yes ☐ No Your comments and suggestions:

3. Did you find the presentations informative?

(circle one) Excellent Good Fair Poor

What would make them better? Actually, Good to excellent.
Your comments and suggestions:

4. Did you like the format of Day 1 of the forum (e.g. presentations followed by facilitated discussions)?

☒ Yes ☐ No Your comments and suggestions:

More discussions would have been
useful - although there may not have
been enough questions. Panel discussions
might have drawn more people out
with questions. over 100

5. Did you like the forum location and facilities?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Your comments and suggestions:

However, For a meeting Facility, I would think that more phones would be available. Similarly, some information on where to get lunch would have helped.

Please use the space below to provide feedback on aspects of Day 1 of the forum that worked well for you (✓ column) and on aspects of the forum which you would change (▲ column).

✓	▲
I thought it went fairly well, with a recent agenda laid out. Other comments provided above.	

Thank you for your comments and suggestions.
We look forward to seeing you on Day 2 of the perchlorate stakeholders forum.

Perchlorate Stakeholders Forum

Sponsored by the Interagency Perchlorate Steering Committee

May 19-21, 1998 ♦ Henderson, NV

May 19 (Day 1) Evaluation

Please take a few minutes to complete this evaluation and return it at the registration table as you leave today. Your comments will help us shape the remaining two days of the forum and improve our interactions with you.

1. How did you learn about the perchlorate stakeholders forum?

☒ Mailing from USEPA Office of Ground Water and Drinking Water

☒ Mailing from USEPA Region 9 office (San Francisco, CA)

☐ Newspaper article - which newspaper? _____

☐ Television story - which station? _____

☐ Other (please specify) _____

✓ 2. Do you think the selections of topics for Day 1 of the forum was appropriate?

____ Yes ☒ No

Your comments and suggestions:

THE TOPICS SHOULD HAVE INCLUDED THE RESULTS OF THE TERA STUDIES. CONVENING THIS CONFERENCE WITHOUT THESE STUDIES WAS A SERIOUS ERROR IN JUDGMENT.

✓ 3. Did you find the presentations informative?

(circle one) Excellent Good Fair Poor

What would make them better?

Your comments and suggestions:

~~THE~~ KNOWLEDGEABLE STAKEHOLDERS WERE LEFT OUT OF THE PROCESS, EXCEPT FOR NATIVE AMERICANS.

✓ 4. Did you like the format of Day 1 of the forum (e.g. presentations followed by facilitated discussions)?

____ Yes ☒ No

Your comments and suggestions:

SCHEDULING WAS OFF. THERE SHOULD HAVE BEEN AN EASIER WAY TO ASK SOME HARD NOSE QUESTIONS. TOO MUCH WENT BY THAT LACKED CRITICAL THINKING. over 13

5. Did you like the forum location and facilities?

____ Yes ☒ No

Your comments and suggestions:

SHOULD HAVE BEEN MORE
VALLEY CENTRAL.

Please use the space below to provide feedback on aspects of Day 1 of the forum that worked well for you (☒ column) and on aspects of the forum which you would change (☒ column).

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Thank you for your comments and suggestions.
We look forward to seeing you on Day 2 of the perchlorate stakeholders forum.